

Am



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/852,336	05/08/2001	James Duncan Work	4938P001	4814

8791 7590 02/25/2005

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD
SEVENTH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030

EXAMINER

CHEA, PHILIP J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2153

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/852,336	WORK, JAMES DUNCAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Philip J Chea	2153	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Philip J Chea. (3) Tarek Fahmi 41402 and Nathan Elder 55150.
 (2) William Vaughn. (4) Duncan Work.

Date of Interview: 03 February 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: proposed amendment faxed 1/31/05.

Claim(s) discussed: 76,86 and 102.

Identification of prior art discussed: Weinreich et al. (US 6,175,831), Gilmour et al. (US 6,115,709), Walker et al. (US 5,884,270).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Fahmi discussed the proposed amendment received 1/31/5 and how it differed from the prior art rejection. Claim language was also elaborated to provide a clearer understanding of the invention. The examiner stated that further clarification regarding the access control features, "inter-person" feature as well as the "specified connections". Further discussion of these features would be discussed 2/4/05.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

William Vaughn
 Primary Examiner
 Art Unit 2143

Philip J Chea

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

BLAKELY 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY
SOKOLOFF SUNNYVALE, CALIFORNIA 94086
TAYLOR & (408) 720-8598 (Telephone)
ZAFMAN (408) 720-9397 (Facsimile)
A LIMITED LIABILITY
PARTNERSHIP INCLUDING
LAW CORPORATIONS

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

Deliver to: Examiner Philip J. Chea
Firm Name: USPTO
Fax Number: (571) 273-3951 Telephone No.: _____
From: Nathan Elder
Date: February 4, 2005 Time: _____
Operator: NPE Matter: 7176P001
Number of pages including cover sheet: 2

Message: _____
Serial No. 09/852,336
Filed May 8, 2001
Title: Method and Apparatus for Internet-Based Human Network Brokering

Attached is a draft of a new claim for discussion purposes.

Conference Phone Number: 1-866-383-3067 (Room Code: 9187)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE

The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission contain information from the law firm of Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman that is confidential or privileged. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this transmission sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this faxed information is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone immediately so that we can arrange for the retrieval of the original documents at no cost to you.

**IF YOU EXPERIENCE ANY DIFFICULTY IN RECEIVING THE ABOVE PAGES,
PLEASE CALL (408) 720-8598 AND ASK FOR THE OPERATOR NAMED ABOVE.**

1. (New) A computer-implemented method, comprising reporting matches to searches initiated by a searcher so long as access control criteria are met, the matches including potential targets satisfying one or more search criteria defined for the searches, and the access control criteria (i) being selectably controllable by any of one or more persons in one or more chains of person-to-person relationships connecting the searcher and the potential targets, and (ii) defining attributes of such one or more persons and such persons' contacts that may be shared with others.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/852,336	Applicant(s) WORK, JAMES DUNCAN	
	Examiner Philip J Chea	Art Unit 2153	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Philip J Chea.

(3) Nathan Elder 55150.

(2) William Vaughn.

(4) Duncan Work.

Date of Interview: 04 February 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: proposed claim faxed 2/4/05.

Claim(s) discussed: newly added claim.

Identification of prior art discussed: Weinreich et al. (US 6,175,831).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Elder and Mr. Work, elaborated on the access control criteria found in the invention. The proposed amendment would possibly overcome the present rejections. However, the examiner indicated the right to utilize any reference in a different form of a rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

William Vaughn
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2143

Philip J. Chea
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

**BLAKELY
SOKOLOFF
TAYLOR &
ZAFMAN**
A LIMITED LIABILITY
PARTNERSHIP INCLUDING
LAW CORPORATIONS

60 South Market Street, Suite 510
San Jose, California 95113
(408) 947-8200 (Telephone)
(408) 947-8280 (Facsimile)

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

Deliver to: Examiner Philip J. Chea
Firm Name: USPTO
Fax Number: 571-273-3951 Telephone No.: _____
From: Nathan Elder
Date: January 31, 2005 Time: _____
Operator: sde Matter: 7176P003
Number of pages including cover sheet: 33

Message:

RE:

Serial No.: 09/852,336

Filed: May 8, 2001

Title: Method and Apparatus for Internet-Based Human Network Brokering

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE

The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission contain information from the law firm of Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman that is confidential or privileged. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this transmission sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this faxed information is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone immediately so that we can arrange for the retrieval of the original documents at no cost to you.